

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

EASTERN District of MICHIGAN

Southern Division

| RAY SMITH FORD, BROTHER RAY, | Case: 2:20-cv-11736) Judge: Parker, Linda V.) MJ: Grand, David R. Filed: 06-19-2020 At 01:02 PM CMP RAY SMITH FORD ET AL V NBC UNIVERSAL ET AL (SS) | | | | |
|--|---|------------|--|--|--|
| Plaintiff(s) |) | | | | |
| (Write the full name of each plaintiff who is filing this complaint. |) Til | | | | |
| If the names of all the plaintiffs cannot fit in the space above, please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page with the full list of names.) | Jury Trial: (check one) | ⊠ Yes □ No | | | |
| -V- |) | | | | |
| |) | | | | |
| NBC UNIVERSAL, | Ś | | | | |
| FOX CORPORATION, |) | | | | |
| CBS CORPORATION, |) | | | | |
| PUBLIC BROADCASTING SERVICE (PBS) | , | | | | |
| |) | | | | |
| Defendant(s) |) | | | | |
| (Write the full name of each defendant who is being sued. If the |) | | | | |
| names of all the defendants cannot fit in the space above, please | , | | | | |
| write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page | | | | | |
| with the full list of names.) | | | | | |

COMPLAINT FOR A CIVIL CASE

I. The Parties to This Complaint

A. The Plaintiff(s)

Provide the information below for each plaintiff named in the complaint. Attach additional pages if needed.

Name RAY SMITH FORD aka BROTHER RAY,

Street Address 3766 IROQUOIS

City and County DETROIT, WAYNE COUNTY

State and Zip Code 48214

Telephone Number 3132085338

E-mail Address ray48207@gmail.com

B. The Defendant(s)

Provide the information below for each defendant named in the complaint, whether the defendant is an individual, a government agency, an organization, or a corporation. For an individual defendant, include the person's job or title (*if known*). Attach additional pages if needed.

Defendant No. 1

Name FOX CORPORATION

Job or Title (if known)

Street Address 1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS

City and County NEW YORK

State and Zip Code NEW YORK 10036

Telephone Number

E-mail Address (if known)

Defendant No. 2

Name CBS CORPORATION

Job or Title (if known)

Street Address 530 W. 57TH STREET

City and County NEW YORK

State and Zip Code NEW YORK 10019

Telephone Number

E-mail Address (if known)

Defendant No. 3

Name AMERICAN BROADCASTING COMPANY (ABC)

Job or Title (if known)

Street Address 77 WEST 66TH STREET

City and County NEW YORK CITY
State and Zip Code NEW YORK 10023

Telephone Number

E-mail Address (if known)

Defendant No. 4

Name NBC UNIVERSAL

Job or Title (if known)

Street Address 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA

City and County NEW YORK

State and Zip Code NEW YORK 10112

Telephone Number SEE ATTACHED FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

| Pro Se 1 | (Rev. | 12/16) | Complaint | for a | a Civil Case |
|----------|-------|--------|-----------|-------|--------------|
|----------|-------|--------|-----------|-------|--------------|

| E-mail | Address | (if known) |
|--------|---------|------------|
|--------|---------|------------|

II. **Basis for Jurisdiction**

Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction (limited power). Generally, only two types of cases can be heard in federal court: cases involving a federal question and cases involving diversity of citizenship of the parties. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, a case arising under the United States Constitution or federal laws or treaties

| anoth | deral q er State | uestion o or natio | case. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a case in which a citizen of one State such and the amount at stake is more than \$75,000 is a diversity of citizen ip case, no defendant may be a citizen of the same State as any plaintif | ues a citizen of a ship case. In a |
|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| What | is the b | asis for | federal court jurisdiction? (check all that apply) | |
| [| ☐ Fed | eral que | stion | |
| Fill o | it the pa | aragraph | as in this section that apply to this case. | |
| A. | If the | e Basis f | for Jurisdiction Is a Federal Question | |
| | are a | t issue in | fic federal statutes, federal treaties, and/or provisions of the United Statution this case. | ates Constitution that |
| | N/A | • | | |
| | | | | |
| В. | If the | e Basis f | for Jurisdiction Is Diversity of Citizenship | |
| | 1. | The I | Plaintiff(s) | |
| | | a. | If the plaintiff is an individual | |
| | | | The plaintiff, (name) RAY SMITH FORD aka BROTHER RAY | , is a citizen of the |
| | | | State of (name) MICHIGAN . | - |
| | | b. | If the plaintiff is a corporation | |
| | | | The plaintiff, (name) | , is incorporated |
| | | | under the laws of the State of (name) | |
| | | | and has its principal place of business in the State of (name) | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

(If more than one plaintiff is named in the complaint, attach an additional page providing the same information for each additional plaintiff.)

- 2. The Defendant(s)
 - If the defendant is an individual a.

| | The defendant, (name) | , is a citizen of |
|----|---|-------------------------|
| | the State of (name) (foreign nation) | . Or is a citizen of |
| | · | • |
| b. | If the defendant is a corporation | |
| | The defendant, (name) NBC UNIVERSAL | , is incorporated under |
| | the laws of the State of (name) NEW YORK | , and has its |
| | principal place of business in the State of (name) NEW YORK | |
| | Or is incorporated under the laws of (foreign nation) | |
| | and has its principal place of business in (name) NEW YORK | |
| | | |

(If more than one defendant is named in the complaint, attach an additional page providing the same information for each additional defendant.)

3. The Amount in Controversy

The amount in controversy—the amount the plaintiff claims the defendant owes or the amount at stake—is more than \$75,000, not counting interest and costs of court, because (explain):

The defandants action has caused irreparable damage to the plantiffs reputation and legal status as an American Citizen causing extreme prejudice and racism based on the color of plaintiffs skin complexion. The defendants continued public broadcasting during live news segments describing and making references towards plaintiff and the likes of plaintiff as Blacks, Black People, Black Americans is a public nuisance and defamation

III. Statement of Claim

Write a short and plain statement of the claim. Do not make legal arguments. State as briefly as possible the facts showing that each plaintiff is entitled to the damages or other relief sought. State how each defendant was involved and what each defendant did that caused the plaintiff harm or violated the plaintiff's rights, including the dates and places of that involvement or conduct. If more than one claim is asserted, number each claim and write a short and plain statement of each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional pages if needed.

PBS

Plaintiff states that on or about June 3, 2020 during the PBS News Hour, the station endorsed and reported on a study by Monmouth University, that in December 2014 33%, July 2016 34% and June 2020 54% of "Americans who say police are more likely to use excessive force against Black People was discriminatory, offensive, degrading and defamation of specific Americans character by deluding to the words to describe Americans as black people. As a result of the prejudicial statement, plaintiff has suffered, impairment to reputation standing in the community, personal humiliation, shame, and disgrace.

NBC UNIVERSAL:

Story reported on or about June 8 2020, Story reported on June 8, 2020, reflecting on protestors who are recognized as Blacks and Black People, terms that delude to slavery. Detroit is united against racism — but divided on protesting tactics." As Detroit's black community copes with the death of George Floyd, residents are split over how to respond — and the role of white suburban protesters." The reporting is racial bias that negatively impacts the plaintiff's status as an American Citizen

CBS CORPORATION:

On or about June 17, 2020 CBS Morning Show Anthony Mason stated, "Black people are more likely to be shot by police than white people.' The use of the word Black People to describe Americans is a public nuisance and defamation of plaintiffs' character. On June 11th the CBS local Ohio broadcasted prejudicial statements concerning Blacks, Coloreds, Black Americans made by State Senator Steve Huffman following his comments that were made during a hearing for a resolution to declare racism a public health crisis. Huffman, who is also a Dayton-area emergency room physician, caused controversy after he questioned Angela Dawson, executive director of the Ohio Commission of Minority Health, on Tuesday over why the COVID-19 rates for black Ohioans were higher than other populations. "Could it just be that African Americans – or the colored population — do not wash their hands as well as other groups

ABC CORPORATION:

On or about June 11 2020, The ABC News legal correspondent detailed some of alleged remarks about Blacks, Black People, repeating HuffPost's report that Barbara Fedida, who is also the head of diversity and inclusion, "reference[d] Robin Roberts, who is one of the most respected and beloved journalists in our country, as 'picking cotton.' Barbara Fedida, the ABC News senior vice president of talent and business affairs, detailing a history of racist behavior toward Black on-air talent and staffers. Hostin, who identifies as Afro-Latina, was one of her targets with Fedida allegedly referring to the attorney as "low rent." Walt Disney Co. suspended top ABC News executive Barbara Fedida and launched an investigation into her behavior after allegations of racist remarks and other questionable practices Barbara Fedida, a powerful ABC News executive, has an extensive history of insensitive comments. She's now been placed on administrative leave while the network investigates. Systemic racism touches everything and everyone in our society, regardless of social stature.

FOX CORPORATION:

On or about June 8, 2020, Fox News host Tucker Carlson reported live and faced intense backlash Monday night after he argued that the nationwide unrest over racism and police brutality sparked by the death of George Floyd "is definitely not about black lives." Carlson's comments came during a fiery monologue that kicked off his prime-time show Monday, following another day of large demonstrations in the wake of last month's fatal incident in which Floyd, an unarmed black man, died after a white police officer in Minneapolis knelt on his neck for more than eight minutes. For roughly 25 minutes, Carlson criticized the Black Lives Matter movement and growing calls for cities to defund police departments or completely get rid of them. Quoting Tucker, "This may be a lot of things, this moment we're living through, but it is definitely not about black lives," Carlson said. "Remember that when they come for you, and at this rate, they will. "Anyone who has ever been subjected to the rage of the mob knows the feeling," he continued. "It's like being swarmed by hornets. You cannot think clearly. And the temptation is to panic. But you can't panic. You've got to keep your head and tell the truth. ... If you show weakness of any kind, they will crush you."

PUBLIC NUISANCE CLAIM I

The defendants who are trusted with reporting ethical, factual non-biased public news broadcasting actions has resulted in a public nuisance when they continue to disgrace, degrade, de-humanize plaintiff and the likes of plaintiff characterizing the plaintiff and the likes of plaintiff as Blacks, Black People and Black Americans as though plaintiff and the likes of plaintiff sharing the same skin complexion are un-American non-Americans. The continued bad acts by the defendants, violates plaintiffs Civil Rights as the plaintiff has suffered discrimination, hardships, hard ache, pain & suffering; mental anguish; confusion and exclusion in the entire American experience. The defendant's continued descriptions of plaintiff and the likes of plaintiff as Blacks, Black People, and Black Americans are offensive nick names used to describe the people who were slaves during the time of slavery.

DEFAMATION CLAIM II

The defendants who are trusted with reporting ethical, factual non-biased public news broadcasting actions has resulted in defamation when they continue to disgrace, degrade, de-humanize plaintiff and the likes of plaintiff characterizing the plaintiff and the likes of plaintiff as Blacks, Black People and Black Americans as though plaintiff and the likes of plaintiff sharing the same skin complexion are un-American non-Americans. The continued bad acts by the defendants, violates plaintiffs Civil Rights as the plaintiff has suffered discrimination, hardships, headache, pain & suffering; mental anguish; confusion and exclusion in the entire American experience. The defendants continued descriptions of plaintiff and the likes of plaintiff as Blacks, Black People, and Black Americans are offensive nick names used to describe the people who were slaves during the time of slavery.

IV. Relief

State briefly and precisely what damages or other relief the plaintiff asks the court to order. Do not make legal arguments. Include any basis for claiming that the wrongs alleged are continuing at the present time. Include the amounts of any actual damages claimed for the acts alleged and the basis for these amounts. Include any punitive or exemplary damages claimed, the amounts, and the reasons you claim you are entitled to actual or punitive money damages.

That plaintiff avers that the defendant's bad actions and discriminatory practices has caused serious irreparable harm to the plaintiffs' free national status as an American Citizen and not a second-class citizen or slave. The defendants continued to describe American Citizens of a dark complexion as Blacks, Black People, Black Americans during public news broadcasting is public nuisance and a violation of plaintiffs' civil rights. Plaintiff further states that the offensive marks Blacks, Black People and Black Americans are marks that describe slaves during the time of slavery. Plaintiff is currently suffering from mental anguish, experiencing a state of confusion, pain suffering from racial discrimination and prejudice at the same time excluded from the full benefits of the American experience due to racial quotas and affirmative action status that limits inclusion into the full benefits of a free national American Citizen. The plaintiff ask that this court order the defendants cease to user the offense marks and titles describing Americans of dark or olive complexion as Backs, Black People, Black Americans and African Americans during their live news reporting and segments. Plaintiff further states that the court order the defendants refer to people of his likeness (olive hue dark skin complexion) be referred to as American Citizens. Plaintiff further seeks permanent injunction and punitive damages in the amount of \$18,000,000.00 for pain and suffering irreparable damages.

V. Certification and Closing

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, by signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that this complaint: (1) is not being presented for an improper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation; (2) is supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for extending, modifying, or reversing existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Rule 11.

A. For Parties Without an Attorney

Date of signing:

B.

Signature of Plaintiff

I agree to provide the Clerk's Office with any changes to my address where case—related papers may be served. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk's Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

| Printed Name of Plaintiff | Ray Smilin Ford aka Brother Ray |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| For Attorneys | |
| Date of signing: | |
| Signature of Attorney | |
| Printed Name of Attorney | |
| Bar Number | |
| Name of Law Firm | |
| Street Address | |
| State and Zip Code | |

Telephone Number

E-mail Address

Defendants FOX CORPORATION 1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK NEW YORK 10036 **CBS CORPORATION** 51 W 52nd St, New York, NY 10019, **NBC UNIVERSAL** 30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, NY 10112 American Broadcasting Company (ABC) 77 West 66th Street New York City, NY 10023 Public Broadcasting Service (PBS) 2100 Crystal Drive Arlington, VA 22202

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

| | FOR THE EASTE | RN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN |
|-----|------------------------------------|--|
| 3 | RAY SMITH FORD Pro-Se | |
| 4 | BROTHER RAY, | |
| 5 | Plaintiffs, |) Case No. |
| 6 | |) CAUSE OF ACTION FOR |
| 7 | VS. |) PERMANENT INJUNCTION, AND) PUNITIVE DAMAGES IN THE AMOUNT |
| 8 | NBC UNIVERSAL, FOX CORPORATION, |) OF \$18,000,000.00 |
| 9 | CBS CORPORATION, |) |
| 10 | PUBLIC BROADCASTING SERVICE (PBS), |)) |
| 11 | AMERICAN BROADCASTING | , |
| 12 | COMPANY (ABC), | |
| 13 | Defendants | |
| - 1 | | |

PLAINTIFFS BRIEF AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

COMES NOW, the Plaintiff acting in pro-se capacity petitions this

Honorable Court on motion for Civil Judgment injunction and punitive damages
against defendants for defamation of character and public nuisance violations.

During public news broadcasting, the defendants continued actions to describe
American Citizens as Blacks; Black People; Colored People or Black Americans is
a public nuisance and defamation of character to describe plaintiff and the likes
of plaintiff who is an American Citizen, not Black People or Blacks or Black
American. The proper and constitutional legal description to describe plaintiff
and the likes of plaintiff of olive hue complexion is an American Citizen

I. ARTICLE III COURTS JURISDICTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The United States Constitution, in Article III, § 2, gives the Congress the power to permit federal courts to hear diversity cases through legislation authorizing such jurisdiction. The provision was included because the Framers of the Constitution were concerned that when a case is filed in one state, and it involves parties from that state and another state, the state court might be biased toward the party from that state. Congress first exercised that power and granted federal trial circuit courts diversity jurisdiction in the Judiciary Act of 1789. Diversity jurisdiction is currently codified at 28 U.S.C. § 1332. To establish Article III standing, plaintiffs' suit is brought in the United States District Court for the Eastern District for Michigan on constitutional civil rights established laws under the 13th and 14th Constitutional Amendments hereby invokes this court's jurisdiction pursuant to diversity of citizenship. In the law of the United States, **diversity jurisdiction** is a form of subject-matter Jurisdiction in civil procedure in which a United States district court in the federal judiciary has the power to hear a civil case when the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000 and where the persons that are parties are "diverse" in citizenship or state of incorporation (for corporations being legal persons), which generally indicates that they differ in state and/or nationality. The United States Constitution, in Article III, § 2, gives the Congress the power to permit federal courts to hear diversity cases through legislation authorizing such jurisdiction. The provision was included because the Framers of the

28

Constitution were concerned that when a case is filed in one state, and it involves parties from that state and another state, the state court might be biased toward the party from that state. Congress first exercised that power and granted federal trial circuit courts diversity jurisdiction in the Judiciary Act of 1789. Diversity jurisdiction is currently codified at 28 U.S.C. § 1332 As early as Marbury v. Madison, Chief Justice Marshall stated that "questions in their nature political, or which are, by the constitution and laws, submitted to the executive, can never be made in this court." 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137, 170 (1803). These questions, Marshall wrote, "respect the nation, not individual rights " Id. at 166. There, in the very case that establishes the power of judicial review, the political question doctrine received its judicial imprimatur. Marbury v. Madison, legal case in which, on February 24, 1803, the U.S. Supreme Court first declared an act of Congress unconstitutional, thus establishing the doctrine of judicial review. The court's opinion, written by Chief Justice John Marshall, is considered one of the foundations of U.S. constitutional law. Plaintiff avers that during public news broadcasting, the defendants continued use of the offensive terms Blacks; Black Americans; Black People to describe American Nationals, violates plaintiffs Constitutional Civil Rights when the terms were abolished in 1865 under the 13th Amendment that ended slavery following the 14th Amendment equal protection clause.

II. ARGUMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff argues that the defendants use of the offensive obscenity names such as Blacks, Black People, and Black Americans instead of American Citizens covering historical events such as Black Lives Matter protests that speeded across the US and the world following the death of George Floyd. George Perry Floyd Jr. (October 14, 1973 - May 25, 2020) was an African American Citizen killed by police during an arrest in Minneapolis, Minnesota. Protests in response to his death, and more broadly to police violence against American Citizens publicly known as black people, quickly spread across the United States and internationally. Plaintiff avers that the obscenity words Blacks are referenced in the United States Supreme Court case, Dred Scott v. Sandford, 60 U.S. (19) How.) 393 (1857), was a landmark decision of the US Supreme Court in which the Court held that the US Constitution was not meant to include American citizenship for black people, regardless of whether they were enslaved or free, and so the rights and privileges that the Constitution confers upon American citizens could not apply to them. Plaintiff, an American Citizen residing in Detroit Michigan brought this suit in federal Eastern District of Michigan for injunctive relief and punitive damages on public nuisance and defamation grounds against the defendants who are trusted Broadcasting Companies responsible for reporting ethical information to the public. The defendant's negligent actions describing distinctive Americans as Black People, Black Americans or Blacks covering stories on Coronavirus COVID-19 pandemic and racial reform

4

protestors is a public nuisance, negligent news reporting and defamation of 1 2 plaintiffs' character in violation of plaintiffs' civil rights to be recognized as an 3 American Citizen. The decision was made in the case of Dred Scott, an enslaved 4 black man whose owners had taken him from Missouri, which was a slave-5 holding state, into the Missouri Territory, most of which had been designated 6 7 "free" territory by the Missouri Compromise of 1820. When his owners later 8 brought him back to Missouri, Scott sued in court for his freedom and claimed 9 that because he had been taken into "free" US territory, he had automatically 10 been freed and was legally no longer a slave. Scott sued first in Missouri state 11 12 court, which ruled that he was still a slave under its law. He then sued in US 13 federal court, which ruled against him by deciding that it had to apply Missouri 14 law to the case. He then appealed to the US Supreme Court. In March 1857, the 15 Supreme Court issued a 7–2 decision against Dred Scott. In an opinion written 16 17 by Chief Justice Roger Taney, the Court ruled that black people "are not 18 included, and were not intended to be included, under the word 'citizens' in the 19 Constitution, and can therefore claim none of the rights and privileges which 20 that instrument provides for and secures to citizens of the United States." Taney 21 22 supported his ruling with an extended survey of American state and local laws 23 from the time of the Constitution's drafting in 1787 that purported to show that 24 a "perpetual and impassable barrier was intended to be erected between the 25 26 white race and the one which they had reduced to slavery." Because the Court 27

ruled that Scott was not an American citizen, any federal lawsuit that he filed

automatically failed because he could never establish the "diversity of citizenship" that Article III of the US Constitution requires for a US federal court to be able to exercise jurisdiction over a case. After ruling on those issues surrounding Scott, Taney continued further and struck down the entire Missouri Compromise as a limitation on slavery that exceeded the US Congress's constitutional powers. An **obscenity** is an offensive word or phrase. ... They are obscenities, which are also known as swear words. You can also say that anything offensive or inappropriate is an obscenity. This can include things that are not only dirty, but things that are offensive in other ways. Some definitions of the word Black is associated with fear, mystery, death, evil, aggression and rebellion. Black is required for all other colors to have depth and variation of hue. The black color is the absence of color which is inappropriate to describe a member of humanity. Plaintiff avers that as an example, had the defendants public broadcasting reported that the police are killing unarmed Americans as opposed to the police are killing Black People or Black Americans, there would be a difference in society's reflection on so-called Black People. Plaintiff argues that defendants must not continue to refer to American Citizens as Blacks, Black Americans or Black People which is an obscenity.

III. LEGAL STANDING

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Under the federal notice pleading standards, a plaintiff's "factual allegations must be enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level." Twombly, 550 U.S. at 555. Put differently, a "complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to 'state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 678, 129 S. Ct.1937, 1949, 172 L.Ed. 2d 868 (2009) (quoting Twombly, 550 U.S. at 570). In determining the sufficiency of a complaint under the plausibility standard, courts must "accept all well-pleaded facts as true and draw reasonable inferences in the plaintiffs' favor. a plaintiff need not allege the prima facie elements of a discrimination claim because it is an evidentiary standard, not a pleading requirement. See Swierkiewicz v. Sorema, 534 U.S. 506, 510, 122 S.Ct. 992, 152 L.Ed.2d 1 (2002). see also Twombly, 550 U.S. at 569 ("[W]e does not require heightened fact pleading of specifics, but only enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face."). Indeed, a "complaint alleging [race] discrimination need only aver that the employer instituted a (specified) adverse employment action against the plaintiff based on [his race]."

A. PBS NEWS HOUR

Plaintiff states that on or about June 3, 2020 during the PBS News Hour, the station endorsed and reported on a study by Monmouth University, that in December 2014 33%, July 2016 34% and June 2020 54% of "Americans who say police are more likely to use excessive force against Black People was

discriminatory, offensive, degrading and defamation of specific Americans

character by deluding to the words to describe Americans as black people. **PBS** is a private, nonprofit media enterprise **owned by** its member public television stations. **PBS** distributes programming to approximately 350 locally controlled and operated public television stations across the country and is funded principally by these member stations, distribution and underwriting.

B. NBC UNIVERSAL

Story reported on June 8, 2020, reflecting on protestors who are recognized as Blacks and Black People, terms that delude to slavery. Detroit is united against racism — but divided on protesting tactics." As Detroit's black community copes with the death of George Floyd, residents are split over how to respond — and the role of white suburban protesters." The reporting is racial bias that negatively impacts the plaintiff's status as an American Citizen.

C. CBS CORPORATION

On June 17, 2020 CBS Morning Show Anthony Mason stated, "Black people are more likely to be shot by police than white people.' The use of the word Black People to describe Americans is a public nuisance and defamation of plaintiffs' character. On June 11th the CBS local Ohio broadcasted prejudicial statements concerning Blacks, Coloreds, Black Americans made by State Senator Steve Huffman following his comments that were made during a hearing for a

27

28

resolution to declare racism a public health crisis. Huffman, who is also a Dayton-area emergency room physician, caused controversy after he questioned Angela Dawson, executive director of the Ohio Commission of Minority Health, on Tuesday over why the COVID-19 rates for black Ohioans were higher than other populations. "Could it just be that African Americans - or the colored population — do not wash their hands as well as other groups? Or wear masks? Or do not socially distance themselves?" Huffman asked during Senate testimony. In a statement, the 55-year-old Huffman said he regretted how his question was perceived. "I asked a question in an unintentionally awkward way that was perceived as hurtful and was exactly the opposite of what I meant," the senator said. "I was trying to focus on why COVID-19 affects people of color at a higher rate since we really do not know all the reasons." Democratic Rep. Stephanie Howse, president of the Ohio Legislative Black Caucus, noted that the word "colored" has an offensive history of being associated with segregation and Jim Crow laws. "When we talk about the internalized racism that is deeply ingrained in our institutions and the obstacles Black Americans face in ever achieving meaningful change, this is exactly what we are talking about," Rep. Howse said. "His explicitly racist comment, which invoked deeply troubling sentiments and imagery, is reprehensible, and any Ohio lawmaker who holds such abhorrent views must be held accountable."

D. FOX CORPORATION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On or about June 8 2020, Fox News host Tucker Carlson reported live and faced intense backlash Monday night after he argued that the nationwide unrest over racism and police brutality sparked by the death of George Floyd "is definitely not about black lives. "Carlson's comments came during a fiery monologue that kicked off his prime-time show Monday, following another day of large demonstrations in the wake of last month's fatal incident in which Floyd, an unarmed black man, died after a white police officer in Minneapolis knelt on his neck for more than eight minutes. For roughly 25 minutes, Carlson criticized the Black Lives Matter movement and growing calls for cities to defund police departments or completely get rid of them. Quoting Tucker, "This may be a lot of things, this moment we're living through, but it is definitely not about black lives," Carlson said. "Remember that when they come for you, and at this rate, they will. "Anyone who has ever been subjected to the rage of the mob knows the feeling," he continued. "It's like being swarmed by hornets. You cannot think clearly. And the temptation is to panic. But you can't panic. You've got to keep your head and tell the truth. ... If you show weakness of any kind, they will crush you."

IV. FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT

The Constitution's 14th Amendment did not refer to black people only citizens. The **14th Amendment** to the U.S. Constitution, ratified in 1868, granted citizenship to all persons born or naturalized in the United States—

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

including former slaves—and guaranteed all citizens "equal protection of the laws." The plaintiffs aver that the use of the term black people deludes to slavery and therefore, violates their rights as American Citizens.

The nation's answer to the question who is black? has long been that a black is any person with any known African black ancestry. This definition reflects the long experience with slavery and later with Jim Crow segregation. In the South it became known as the "one-drop rule," meaning that a single drop of "black blood" makes a person a black. It is also known as the "one black ancestor rule," some courts have called it the "traceable amount rule," and anthropologists call it the "hypo-descent rule," meaning that racially mixed persons are assigned the status of the subordinate group. This definition emerged from the American South to become the nation's definition, generally accepted by whites and blacks. Blacks had no other choice. As we shall see, this American cultural definition of blacks is taken for granted as readily by judges, history scholars, affirmative action officers, and the likes of Black Lives Matter protesters and sympathizers. It was in 1865, on June 19th, that enslaved black people in Texas learned that the Civil War had ended and that they were free, more than two years after the effective date of the Emancipation Proclamation.

A. BLACKS. BLACK PEOPLE, BLACK AMERICANS PROPER PERSON LEGAL STATUS IS AMERICAN CITIZENS

The Americans who were given the names Blacks, Black Americans, Black
People were protected by some form of government prior to their enslavement.

25

26

27

28

Following their emancipation there has not been an established rule of law or government to protect said people in the United States that will provide equal protection under the law. As Blacks, Black Americans, Black People, there is severe prejudice towards said people due to the names that were imposed on said people during the time of slavery. According to the first treaty formed with the newly formed American nation, Morocco established a treaty that reflected protections for North African subjects. See PEACE AND FRIENDSHIP. Treaty sealed by the Emperor of **Morocco** June 23, 1786 and delivered to the American agent at Morocco June 28, 1786. Morocco and the United States have a long history of friendly relations. This North African nation was one of the first states to seek diplomatic relations with America. In 1777, Sultan Sidi Muhammad Ben Abdullah, the most progressive of the Barbary leaders who ruled Morocco from 1757 to 1790, announced his desire for friendship with the United States. By issuing this declaration, Morocco became one of the first states to acknowledge publicly the independence of the American Republic. The U.S. Government sent its first official communication to the Sultan of Morocco in December 1780 reads: "We the Congress of the 13 United States of North America, have been informed of your Majesty's favorable regard to the interests of the people we represent, which has been communicated by Monsieur Etienne d'Audibert Caille of Sale, Consul of Foreign nations unrepresented in your Majesty's states. We assure you of our earnest desire to cultivate a sincere and firm peace and friendship

with your Majesty and to make it last to all posterity. Should any of the subjects of our states come within the ports of your Majesty's territories, we flatter ourselves they will receive the benefit of your protection and benevolence. You may assure yourself of every protection and assistance to your subjects from the people of these states whenever and wherever they may have it in their power. We pray your Majesty may enjoy long life and uninterrupted prosperity."

IV. PLAINTIFFS CLAIM I

THE USE OF THE WORDS BLACK PEOPLE, AFRICAN AMERICANS OR BLACK AMERICANS TO DESCRIBE AMERICAN CITIZENS IS SLANDER AND DEFAMATION OF CHARACTER

The defendants in all working in concert to continue to defame and slander plaintiffs Character during around the clock news reporting is annoying in nature that cast a negative reflection on plaintiffs' free national status recognized as full American Citizens in the United States. The harm suffered by plaintiffs, ranging from insomnia, to depression and anxiety, made by the defendant's news reporting statements distinguishing Americans from Black Americans, Blacks or African Americans is prejudicial, bias which has taken a toll on plaintiff's mental health, and those harms must be compensable. When defendants use the words Blacks, Black People and Black Americans to describe an American Citizen of olive hue, dark complexion is prejudicial unjust description that deludes to slavery. The irreparable harm done is when non-African descent Americans see plaintiffs as Black People or Blacks and not as true Americans which lead to

racism and prejudicial treatment towards plaintiffs. From the founding of the Nation until 1964, the law of defamation was almost exclusively the business of state courts and legislatures. Gertz v. Robert Welch Inc., 418 U. S. 323, 345 (1974). But beginning with New York Times Co. v. Sullivan, 376 U. S. 254 (1964) the Court "federalized major aspects of libel law by declaring unconstitutional in important respects the prevailing defamation law in all or most of the 50 States. New York Times was "the first major step in what proved to be a seemingly irreversible process of constitutionalizing the entire law of libel and slander. The Court promptly expanded the actual malice rule to all defamed "public figures," Curtis Publishing Co. v. Butts, 388 U. s. 130, 134 (1967), which it defined to include private persons who "thrust themselves to the forefront of particular public controversies in order to influence the resolution of the issues involved.

A. DEFAMATION DEFINED

One essential element in the types of defamation claims is that the defendant knowingly or negligently published something defamatory about the plaintiff. A communication may be considered defamatory if it tends so to harm the reputation of another as to lower him in the estimation of the community or expose him to public hatred, contempt, and ridicule or to deter third persons from associating with him. Courts have long struggled with the task of determining a standard for deciding whether a statement is defamatory or

has reckless disregard. Many statements may be viewed as defamatory by some individuals, but the same statement may not be viewed as defamatory by others. But generally, courts require a plaintiff to prove that he or she has been defamed in the eyes of the community or within a defined group within the community. **Examples of defamatory statements are virtually limitless, including statements that:**

- Suggest involvement in serious crimes involving moral turpitude or a felony.
- Expose a plaintiff to ridicule the he is a descendant of slaves
- Reflect negatively on the plaintiff's character, morality, or integrity
- Impair the plaintiff's equal opportunity to financial well-being
- Suggest that the plaintiff suffers from a health defect that would cause others to refrain from associating with the plaintiff

In addressing the examples of defamation of plaintiff's character, the defendants use to describe all persons of dark complexion as Blacks; Black People; Black Americans is prejudicial when the defendants display dark complexion people as vandals, thieves breaking into retail shops looting and setting fires and calling those individuals Black's: Black People or Black Americans during their public news broadcast covering protestors against racism. Suggest involvement in serious crimes involving moral turpitude or a felony. Defendants use of offensive names that delude to slavery publically exposed plaintiffs to ridicule that he is a descendant of slaves because of his dark complexion. Not all dark hue complexion individuals should be called Blacks, Black People or Black Americans. The defendants all have a habitual practice of labeling all dark complexion

Americans as Blacks, Black People or Black Americans which is slander and offensive towards the plaintiffs. The consistent public labeling by the defendants reflect negatively on the plaintiff's character, morality and integrity. The offensive labeling by defendants has resulted in quotas that impairs the plaintiff's equal opportunity to financial well-being as a true first-class American Citizen. The defendants continued reporting during the COVID-19 pandemic that Blacks, Black People and Black Americans are high risk of contracting Coronavirus that causes non dark complexion Americans from associating with plaintiff due to racism based on skin complexion. The defendant's deliberate actions have caused irreparable harm to plaintiffs' character as an American Citizen. Therefore, the defendants are liable for punitive damages, pain and suffering as a result of the PBS News hour public segment June 3rd, 2020 What's behind racial disparities in American policing — and how to solve them. During the segment, PBS reported that:

"Americans who say police are more likely to use excessive force against black people" statement separates black people from being Americans. As a result of the prejudicial statement, plaintiff has suffered, impairment to reputation standing in the community, personal humiliation, shame, and disgrace.

B. MADE REFERENCE TO PLAINTIFF

In a defamation action, the recipient of a defaming communication must understand that the defendant intended to refer to the plaintiff in the communication. Even where the recipient mistakenly believes that a communication refers to the plaintiff, this belief, so long as it is reasonable. It is not necessary that the communication refer to the plaintiff by name if it is a defamation of character. See offensive references as follows:

For all persons of any known black lineage, however, assimilation is blocked and is not promoted by miscegenation. Barriers to full opportunity and participation for

Blacks are still formidable, and a fractionally black person cannot escape these obstacles without passing as white and cutting off all ties to the black family and community.

The pain of this separation, and condemnation by the black family and community, are major reasons why many or most of those who could pass as white choose not to. Loss of security within the minority community, and fear and distrust of the white world are also factors. It should now be apparent that the definition of a black person as one with any trace at all black African ancestry is inextricably woven into the history of the United States.

It incorporates beliefs once used to justify slavery and later used to buttress the caste like Jim Crow system of segregation. Developed in the South, the definition of "Negro (now black) spread and became the nation's social and legal definition. Because blacks are defined according to the one-drop rule, they are a socially constructed category in which there is wide variation in racial traits and therefore not a race group in the scientific sense. However, because that category has a definite status position in the society it has become a self-conscious social group with an ethnic identity.

The one-drop rule has long been taken for granted throughout the United States by whites and blacks alike, and the federal courts have taken "judicial notice" of it as being a matter of common knowledge. State

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

courts have generally upheld the one-drop rule, but some have limited the definition to one thirty-second or one-sixteenth or one-eighth black ancestry or made other limited exceptions for persons with both Indian and black ancestry. Most Americans seem unaware that this definition of blacks is extremely unusual in other countries, perhaps even unique to the United States, and that Americans define no other minority group in a similar way.

IV. PLAINTIFFS CLAIM II

THE OFFENSIVE USE OF THE MARKS BLACK PEOPLE, BLACKS OR BLACK AMERICANS IS A PUBLIC NUISANCE DEFAMING THE CHARACTER OF AMERICANS CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATES THE 14TH AMENDMENT EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE

The plaintiff contend that the defendants continue use to describe American Citizens as Black People, Blacks, Black Americans or African Americans are unconstitutional nicknames that reflect the plaintiff likeness and others sharing the same likeness are negative descriptions given to slaves by slave holders during the time of slavery. in the 1990s, States began turning to public nuisance theories to target manufacturers, and to hold tobacco companies liable for state Medicaid expenditures on tobacco-related health problems. Gifford, supra, at 753; Schwartz & Gold-berg, supra, at 554. Over forty States sued tobacco companies seeking Medicaid reimbursement under a variety of legal theories, including public nuisance. National Association of Attorneys General, State Attorneys General Powers and Responsibilities. Also, during the late 1990s and early 2000s, States and municipalities sought to hold firearm manufacturers liable for gun violence by way of public nuisance law. Schwartz & Goldberg, supra, at 555–57. But unlike the environmental and asbestos

lawsuits, some of the firearm cases were successful in court. At twelfth century 1 2 English common law, public nuisance was a criminal offense for infringing on the 3 rights of the Crown. Victor E. Schwartz & Phil Gold-berg, The Law of Public 4 Nuisance: Maintaining Rational Boundaries on a Rational Tort, 45 Washburn 5 L.J. 541, 543 (2006). The offenses most commonly took the form of 6 7 purpresture's, or encroachments upon royal lands. Restatement (Second) of 8 Torts § 821B (1979). The attorney general could bring suit for in-junctive relief 9 to abate the nuisance by stopping infringement and repairing damage to the 10 11 King's property. Schwartz & Goldberg, supra, at 543. Beginning in the 12 fourteenth century, public nuisance law expanded to include not only the rights 13 of the Crown itself, but also those of the general public, including "the right to 14 safely walk along public highways, to breathe unpolluted air, to be undisturbed 15 16 by large gatherings of disorderly people and to be free from the spreading of 17 infectious diseases." Id. at 543-44 (internal citation omitted). Courts weighed 18 the value of the conduct against the harm it caused to determine whether it 19 merited criminal punishment. Id. at 544. And in 1535, nuisance law expanded to 20 21 allow private damages for individuals who suffered an in-jury different in kind 22 than that of the general public. Id. 23 The

A. DEFINING THE WORD BLACK

24

25

26

27

28

The so-called Black Americans were originally black people from North Africa who worked as servants and slaves in wealthy European households. The

negative connotation of the term comes from its historical association with servitude and from the perception that black people were strangely exotic. While African American has not replaced **Black** in common parlance, it works both as a noun and as an adjective. In the United States, there is a complex social history for **words** that **name** or describe the dark-skinned peoples of sub-Saharan Africa and their descendants from the Northern and Southern Shores of Africa relating to moors.

B. PUBLIC NUISANCE

A. Legal Responsibility

A private nuisance is a tort, that is, a civil wrong. To determine accountability for an alleged nuisance, a court will examine three factors: the defendant's fault, whether there has been a substantial interference with the plaintiff's interest, and the reasonableness of the defendant's conduct.

B. Fault

Fault means that the defendant intentionally, negligently, or recklessly interfered with the plaintiff's use and enjoyment of the land or that the defendant continued her conduct after learning of actual harm or substantial risk of future harm to the plaintiff's interest. For example, a defendant who continues to spray chemicals into the air after learning that they are blowing onto the plaintiff's land is deemed to be intending that result. Where it is alleged that a defendant has violated a statute, proving the elements of the statute will establish fault.

C. Substantial Interference

The law is not intended to remedy trifles or redress petty annoyances. To establish liability under a nuisance theory, interference with the plaintiff's interest must be substantial. Determining substantial interference in cases where the physical condition of the property is affected will often be straightforward. More challenging are those cases predicated on personal inconvenience, discomfort, or annoyance. To determine whether interference is substantial, courts apply the standard of an ordinary member of the community with normal sensitivity and temperament. A plaintiff cannot, by putting his or her land to an unusually sensitive use, make a nuisance out of the defendant's conduct that would otherwise be relatively harmless.

D. Reasonableness of Defendant's Conduct

If the interference with the plaintiff's interest is substantial, a determination must then be made that it is unreasonable for the plaintiff to bear it or to bear it without compensation. This is a **balancing** process weighing the respective interests of both parties. The law recognizes that the activities of others must be accommodated to a certain extent, particularly in matters of industry, commerce, or trade. The nature and gravity of the harm is balanced against the burden of preventing the harm and the usefulness of the conduct.

The following are factors to be considered:

• Extent and duration of the disturbance;

- .

- Nature of the harm;
- Social value of the plaintiff's use of his or her property or other interest;
- Burden to the plaintiff in preventing the harm;
- Value of the defendant's conduct, in general and to the community;
- Motivation of the defendant;
- Feasibility of the defendant's mitigating or preventing the harm;
- Locality and suitability of the uses of the land by both parties.

V. IRREPARABLE DAMAGE TO THE PLAINTIFF

The plaintiff has suffered irreparable harm, confusion, mental anguish, discrimination racism pain and suffering and allusions due to the defendant's negative offensive public news broadcasting identifying the plaintiff and the likes of plaintiffs sharing the same skin complexion of American as Blacks, Black People, Black Person, Black Americans. These labels placed on Americans reflect the plaintiff in a negative way that causes severe racism and prejudice towards the plaintiff, limiting the plaintiffs rights to equality in economic and employment opportunities, access to credit capital markets and affordable housing due to systemic prejudice towards Blacks, Black Americans of which negatively reflect the plaintiffs guaranteed **equal** legal **rights** as an **American** citizens. There are quota systems affirmative action programs in place to provide Blacks, Black Americans or African Americans access to housing, credit markets, access to capital and college admissions. Therefore, there would be no

4

5

6

7 8

9

11

13

12

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

need to grant Blacks, Black Americans, Black People or African Americans with affirmative action quota systems if said people were recognized as Americans.

VI. RELIEF REQEST

The plaintiff hereby, demand that the defendants use of negative stereotype offense marks such as Blacks, Black Americans and Black People to describe Americans during public news broadcasting is harmful activity to free American citizens requiring defendants' activities to cease and pay punitive damages to the plaintiff. That plaintiff avers that the defendant's bad actions and discriminatory practices has caused serious irreparable harm to the plaintiffs' free national status as an American Citizen and not a second-class citizen or slave. The defendants continued to describe American Citizens of a dark complexion as Blacks, Black People, Black Americans during public news broadcasting is public nuisance and a violation of plaintiffs' civil rights. Plaintiff further states that the offensive marks Blacks, Black People and Black Americans are marks that describe slaves during the time of slavery. Plaintiff is currently suffering from mental anguish, experiencing a state of confusion, pain suffering from racial discrimination and prejudice at the same time excluded from the full benefits of the American experience due to racial quotas and affirmative action status that limits inclusion into the full benefits of a free national American Citizen The plaintiff ask that this court order the defendants cease to user the offense marks and titles describing Americans of dark or olive complexion as Backs, Black People, Black Americans and African Americans
during their live news reporting and segments. Plaintiff further states that the
court order the defendants refer to people of his likeness (olive hue dark skin
complexion) be referred to as American Citizens. Plaintiff further request
punitive damages in the amount of \$18,000,000.00 for pain and suffering
irreparable damages.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify on behalf of the plaintiffs, that on June 19th, 2020, I filed the foregoing document with the clerk of court and mailed first class postage prepaid to all the defendants.

Respectfully submitted on behalf of the plaintiff

Ray Smith Pro se

AKA Brother Ray

3766 Iroquois

Detroit, MI 48214

(313) 208-5338

Defendants FOX CORPORATION 1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK NEW YORK 10036 **CBS CORPORATION** 51 W 52nd St. New York, NY 10019, **NBC UNIVERSAL** 30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, NY 10112 American Broadcasting Company (ABC) 77 West 66th Street New York City, NY 10023 Public Broadcasting Service (PBS) 2100 Crystal Drive Arlington, VA 22202

PageID.35 Page 35 of 37

e JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS RAY SMITH FORD BROTHER RAY | | | | DEFENDANTS | 5 | | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------------|---|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| | | | | NBC UNIVERSAL, FOX CORPORATION, PUBLIC BROADCASTING SERVICE, AMERICAN BROADCASTING, CBS CORPORATION | | | | | ASTING ON |
| (b) County of Residence | , | WAYNE) | | County of Residence | | | NEW YORK | | |
| (E | XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTHEE C. | ASES) | | (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. | | | | | |
| (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, | Address, and Telephone Numbe | er) | | Attorneys (If Known) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| II. BASIS OF JURISDI | ICTION (Place on "X" in (| One Roy Only) | ш ст | TIZENSHIP OF P | PINCIPA | I PADTIFS | /Di "" "V" :- | 0 . 7 | |
| □ 1 U.S. Government | ☐ 3 Federal Question | nie Box Only) | 111. C1 | (For Diversity Cases Only) | | LIARIES | and One Box for | or Defenda | ant) |
| Plaintiff | (U.S. Government | Not a Party) | Citize | | TF DEF | Incorporated or Pr of Business In T | | PTF | DEF 4 |
| ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant | Diversity (Indicate Citizensh | ip of Parties in Item III) | Citize | en of Another State | 2 2 2 | Incorporated and I of Business In A | | 5 | 1 5 |
| | | | | en or Subject of a reign Country | 3 🗇 3 | Foreign Nation | | 5 6 | 1 6 |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT | | ıly) DRTS | | PRETTURE/PENALTY | | here for: Nature of | | | |
| ☐ 110 Insurance | PERSONAL INJURY | PERSONAL INJUR | | 5 Drug Related Seizure | 1 | al 28 USC 158 | ☐ 375 False Cl | STATUT | ES |
| ☐ 120 Marine | ☐ 310 Airplane | 365 Personal Injury - | | of Property 21 USC 881 | ☐ 423 With | drawal | ☐ 375 Paise Cl | | |
| ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument | ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability | Product Liability 367 Health Care/ | □ 69 | 0 Other | 28 U | SC 157 | 3729(a) 400 State Re | • | ment |
| ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment | ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & | Pharmaceutical | | | | CTY RIGHTS | ☐ 410 Antitrus | | пси |
| & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act | Slander 330 Federal Employers' | Personal Injury Product Liability | - | | ☐ 820 Copy ☐ 830 Paten | | ☐ 430 Banks as | | g |
| ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted | Liability | ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal | - 1 | \$10 | 1 | t - Abbreviated | ☐ 450 Commer ☐ 460 Deporta | | |
| Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) | ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product | Injury Product | ' | | | Drug Application | ☐ 470 Rackete | | |
| ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment | Liability | Liability PERSONAL PROPER | TY | LABOR | SOCIAL | SECURITY | Corrupt 480 Consum | Organizati er Credit | ons |
| of Veteran's Benefits | ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle | □ 370 Other Fraud | ☐ 71¢ | 0 Fair Labor Standards | □ 861 HIA | (1395ff) | (15 USC | C 1681 or 1 | , |
| ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract | 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability | ☐ 371 Truth in Lending☐ 380 Other Personal | □ 720 | Act 0 Labor/Management | ☐ 862 Black | : Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) | ☐ 485 Telephor | | ıer |
| ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability | 360 Other Personal | Property Damage | | Relations | ☐ 864 SSID | | ☐ 490 Cable/Sa | | |
| ☐ 196 Franchise | Injury 362 Personal Injury - | ☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability | | 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical | □ 865 RSI (| 405(g)) | ☐ 850 Securitie Exchang | | dities/ |
| | Medical Malpractice | | | Leave Act | | | ☐ 890 Other Sta | | ions |
| REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation | CIVIL NGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights | PRISONER PETITION | | O Other Labor Litigation | | L TAX SUITS | ☐ 891 Agricult | | |
| 220 Foreclosure | 440 Other Civil Rights | Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee | J /9. | 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act | 1 | s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant) | ☐ 893 Environs ☐ 895 Freedom | | |
| 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment | ☐ 442 Employment | ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate | | · | ☐ 871 IRS— | -Third Party | Act | | |
| ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability | 443 Housing/ Accommodations | Sentence 530 General | | | 26 U | SC 7609 | ☐ 896 Arbitrati ☐ 899 Adminis | | oadura |
| 290 All Other Real Property | 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - | 535 Death Penalty | | IMMIGRATION | 1 | | | iew or App | |
| | Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - | Other: 540 Mandamus & Other | | 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration | | | | Decision | r |
| | Other | 550 Civil Rights | . 40. | Actions | | | ☐ 950 Constitu State Sta | | 1 |
| | ☐ 448 Education | ☐ 555 Prison Condition☐ 560 Civil Detainee - | ŀ | | | | | | |
| | | Conditions of | | | | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | Confinement | | | | | <u> </u> | | |
| V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Remanded from Appellate Court | J 4 Reins Reop | , | er District | ☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer | - | Multidis Litigation Direct Fil | n - |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTION | Diversity of Juriso | liction | e filing (D | o not cite jurisdictional sta | tutes unless di | versity): | | | |
| | Brief description of ca | use: Public Nuisance | | | | | | | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: | CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2 | IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P. | | EMAND \$ 18,000,000.00 |) | HECK YES only URY DEMAND: | | complair No | nt: |
| VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY | E(S) (See instructions): | HIDGE | | | DOGUE | TAUMPER | | | |
| | - | JUDGE TO SEE A SEE | | n nnocato | | T NUMBER | | | |
| June 19th 2020 ` | Vax | SIGNATIONE OF ATT | OKNEY 0 | FRECOLD - SE | - | | | | |

RECEIPT #

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

AMOUNT

APPLYING

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked. Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

II.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

